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# The St. Louis Stamps. 

## By CHARLES HAVILAND MEKEEL

The forerunner of the postHistorical. age stamp in the United States was the hand-stamp marks of various descriptions placed upon the letters to show the amount of the postage together with the word "Paid," "Due" or "Collect" to indicate whether the postage had been prepaid or was to be collected upon delivery. The handstamp dated postmark was of much earlier origin than that of the adhesive stamp, and prior to all hand-stamps we find the endorsements in ink upon letters, of the place, date of mailing, amount of postage and a word to indicate whether the postage had been prepaid or was to be collected. ${ }^{1}$ The introduction of the use of the adhesive postage stamp in

[^0]Great Britain in 1840 was followed by agitation in the United States Congress looking toward the introduction of cheap postage and the issuing of postage stamps in this country.

The proposed reform met with opposition, as all reforms do, and it was some years before much was acomplished.

The local express and messenger companies first introduced the use of adhesive postage stamps in the prepayment of mail matter in the United States.

In 1842 Mr . A. M. Greig who had conducted a local carrier system in New York City, in competition with the Government service, was appointed by John

[^1]- 422

Lorimer Graham, the Postmaster of New York, to establish a carrier service to be known as the "United States City Dispatch Post." The authority for this appointment was conferred by a letter? from the First Assistant Postmaster-General.

The stamps issued and used by thes post were the earliest having any semblance of official character.

The failure of Congress in recornizing the value of the English postal system and enacting laws to provide for the issuance of postage stamps, was not shared by the public, the press or the Postmaster-General who were all in favor of the stamp arrangement. It finally resulted that various postmasters issued stamps upon their own authority for the convenience of their patrons.

These stamps were only recognized between the purchaser and the postmaster and had no value or significance outsicle of the post-office in which they were issued.

It will be observed that all the illustrations of the stamps of the St. Louls postmaster upon the original covers in this article bear the numerals to signily the rate of postage, and the word "Paid," the same as letters did that bore no stamps, and it was these marks the receiving postmaster noticed and not the stamps in governing him in the delivery of the mail.

The stamp was simply a receipt between the party paying the postage and the postmaster, and was recognized no further.

This was the character of the early

[^2]postmasters' stamps of New York, Brattleboro, St. Louis and others.

The first of the postmasters' stamps was issued by the New York postmaster on July 14 th, 1845 ; the stamp of the Brattleboro, Vt. postmaster was prob. ably issued later the same year, and the stamps of the St. Lonis, Mo., postmaster were issued in November, 1845 , and first announced in the daily press of that city upon the fifth of that month.:"

The city of St. Louls at that time contained a population of about $\overline{\mathrm{n}}(1,0(0)$. Mr. John M. Wimer was the postmaster appointed in $18 t^{\circ}$ and succeeded Mr. S. B. Churchill, with headquarters at 87 Chestnut Street.

He had engaged Mr. J. M. Kershaw, proprietor of the Western Card and Seal Engraving Establishment, of $\boldsymbol{i l}_{1}$ North Second Street, the leading engravers in the city to engrave two stamps - a ic. and a 10 c . denomination.

These were engraved on an ordinary copper visiting-card plate, the design of each denomination being repeated three times upon the plate. Modern duplicating methods were unknown to St. Louis engravers at that time, so that each of the six stamps were engraved separately and each has its individual characteristics.

The first installment of stamps printed from this plate consisted of 500 sheets and was on a greenish wove paper.

Very soon after the issuance of these stamps the postmaster realized the need of a stamp of higher denomination.

The double rate at that time for any letter destined to a point over $: 30$ miles from St. Louis was 20 cents.

From the evidence of the stamps before us to-day the plate was altered, the

[^3]two inc. stamps in the upper left hand corner of the plate were altered to 20 cents stamps.

Before altering, the plate was probably laid tlat upon a hard surface, face downwards, the back hammered at the point the alteration was desired until the surface was flush, after which the new numerals were engraved, and the surrounding points affected by the hammering were retouched.

Mr. Kershaw some twenty five years after denied having altered this plate to the 20 cents values, but it may have been done by some other engraver or by an assistant in his shop.

The work of the numerals 20 do not resemble the work on the other numer als. ${ }^{4}$

From this altered plate 500 sheets were also printed, some of them on the same greenish paper as the first lot. but mostly on a grey bluish paper similar in character but of a harder and thinner character, and one on which the ink did not set as well.

After this second installment of stamps that were probably issued early in 1416 . the use of stamps probably became more popular and the postmaster found that he was short of the i cents value-the first printing hacl given him 1.500 on cents stamps and the second only for).

As a result the plate was again manip ulated and the 20 cents values re-altered to 5 cents stamps.

The supply of 20 cents stamps had probably proved poor stock and remained largely insold.

The work on the plate in the third condition was clearly the work of the same engraver who originally made the stamps, although there is a marked difference in the formation in minor points.

[^4]This last printing probably consisted of 500 sheets ${ }^{5}$ and was on a very thin transparent, almost felure, paper, that was otherwise very much of the same character and appearance to the paper common to the second printing.

As each printing of St. Louis stamps was practically on a different paper, very few of the second impressions, it is believed, having been on the first paper, the scarcity of all specimens may now be understood by those who are endeavoring to plate the stamps, and there is reason enough if there were no more than nol printed of any variety, varieties of paper considered.

It is very probable that but very few of the 20 cents stamps were ever used, and that most of the $\tilde{\sigma}$ cents and 10 cents stamps of the last printing on the thin paper were on hand when their use was discontinued.

What became of these remainders and the plate is problematical.

If they were most likely destroyed at the time, if not they were possibly lost with the effects of the Wimer family that were sunk in a Mississippi steamboat disaster during the war. If not lost at this time and if among the private papers of Mr. Wimer, they would have been seized by the Government, as he was a "suspected Confederate" in 1863 and arrested, his private papers confiscated and himself imprisoned at Alton, Ill., from which place he escaped two weeks later.

The use of these stamps of the St. Louis postmaster was entirely optional, and they never became very popular with the exception of a few large firms.

The writer has examined a number of files of !etters written from St. Louis in 1845,1846 and 1847 without finding a single stamp thereon.

It is a remarkable fact that most of the stamps that have been discovered were attached to letters from two firms, or were letters from individuals employed, or members of the families of people that were connected in some way with these two business houses, Wm. Nisbet
 States, by John K. Mifany, published in 1887, page 39
The engraver thinkis he printed about 500 sheets, at three different times. byon such paper as he happened to have at hand, and that as the plate deternorated easily, he probably retou hed it slight'y each time in parts, before printing

\& Co.. Private Bankers and Crow \& McCreepy, later Crow. McCreery \& Barks dale, Wholesale Dry Goods Merchants. The stamps that were discovered in the famous Louisville find of last summer were on the correspondence of the bank ing firm above mentioned.

Mr. Deats has in his collection a 10 c stamp on the original cover from the Sanford collection, that is used in the illustration of this article. This is a personal letter from Mr. Wm. Nisbet, of the above banking firm, addressed to his mother, and is particularly interesting on account of the early date, that of
mailed from St. Louis during the years that these stamps were in use.

These facts go to show that the stamps were used by some people quite externsively, while others ignored them altowether, preferring to adhere to the old custom of prepaying the letters in the old way at the Post-office. Of course, the use of these stamps being optional, and simply as a matter of convenience for those who had aclopted the new idea, their actual use was very much more limited than would be supposed from the size of St. Louis at that time and the volume of its mail business.



November 20th, intis while the first announcement of the issue of the stamps was made November it. This stamp is, of course, on the first green paper, characteristic in every way of the first printing and of the early condition of the plate.

The writer has personally examined many letter files containing correspondfence from St. Louis during the years of 1845-(i-7. and has always been disappointed with regard to stamps In fact I have never found a single specimen of the St. Louis stamps by inclividual research, while I have probably exammined no less than a thousand letters

In another part of this article I will mention more particularly, the "find" of St. Louis stamps that was made in Louisville, Kentucliy, during the past summer. This correspondence was most all addressed to Messes. Tyler \& Fintherfoil. from the the firm of $\mathbf{W m}$. Nisbet \& Co. of St. Louis, an Exchange and Banking House. A number of these letters bore extraordinary rates of postage, some as high as 50 cents. Many of the letters were exceedingly brief. considering the large size of the covers. One of them may be given as follows:
"St. Louis, Jan. 29, 1847.
"Messrs. Tyler © Rutherforl,
"Gentleme"--Please to forward by safest and quickest opportunity, the enclosed letter to A. Hamilton, N. O.

## "Yours truly,

"Wm. Nispert \& Co."
Other letters contained drafts and checks for collection, and some as many as three and four letters to be forwarded to parties in New Orleans and other important points in the South. Considering the geographical location of St. Louis, Louisville and New Orleans, this now appears strange to us, and without advancing any theory on the subject, I took an opportunity of interviewing a gentleman who was one of the active business men of St. Louis in the years of 184.-6.7. Mr. Francis Lepere. Mr. Lepere belongs to one of the oldest families of this city, and was at that time engaged in the wholesale grocery business. In later years he became interested in philately, and to. day his son, Mr. Wm. H. Lepere, is one of the most enthusiastic and active philatelists in this city.

Mr. Francis Lepere states that the time the stamps were in use, was of course long before he had taken any interest in philately, in fact, his firm was not one of those who adopted their use, they preferring to adhere to the old way of paying postage at the post-office at the time the letters were deposited, and having same marked "Paid," and forwarded in the old way; so that with regard to the stamps themselves he could give me no new information regarding their early history. His account, however, of the commercial relations of St. Louis with other American cities at that time was most valuable and interesting to me.

St. Louis at that time was secondary commercially to Cincinnati, and Louisville was a very important commercial city, being on the highway between New York and New Orleans, practically the gateway to the Southwest. Much of the trade went through that city to and from New Orleans by way of the Ohio and Mississippi Rivers. A very fast line of steamers was then
being run between Louisville and St. Louis; the more important lines of steamers between New Orleans and the North came up the Mississippi to the junction of the Ohio and then on to Louisville, so that it would be a very natural course for a merchant in St. Louis having business relations with New Orleans to send his remittances, collections and important matters of business through his Louisville correspondent, who would be in much closer and quicker relations with New Orleans than the St. Louis merchant by direct river communication.

This was in the days before the railroalls had come into this Western coun. try, and most all of the trade and business was conducted by the steamers on the Mississippi and Ohio rivers, so that the character of this correspondence between St. Louis and Louisville becomes plain to us when explained by a citizen of those early days. It was particularly gratifying to me to find a gentleman who was so eminently fitted to inform me with regard to the early commercial relations of these cities, and one who is a philatelist.

Mr. Francis Lepere was a correspondent of Mr. Wm. P. Brown, the New York dealer, at the time of the first discovery of the stamps by philatelists in Lexis, and Mr. Brown wrote to him at about that time for information, and he remembers of interviewing Mr. Kersliaw, the engraver, and others, with regard to the stamps on behalf of Mr. Brown.

Although statements Philatelical. conflicting with the following facts have recently been published. ${ }^{i \prime}$ the earliest philatelic mention of the St. Louis stamps was made in November, and again in December, 1463. in the Stamp Collictors' Magasime, the 10 cents stamp being briefly describedr in a list of $U$.

[^5]




sell readily at over \$5,000.


[^6]S. local stamps. The à cents stamp was not known in Europe, however, until June, $1864,{ }^{*}$ when Mr. Fred. A. Philbrick secured the $\overline{\text { o chents from Mount Brown }}$ for 6 shillings. Mr. Philbrick had previously secured the 10 cents from the collection of Rev. F. J. Stainforth, who had acquired it from an American collection rich in early U. S., the stamp being priced to him at 5 shillings if pence. A second die of the 10 cents came to Mr. Philbrick's collection from Mr. de Saulcy: As late as April, $1 \times 6$. the 10 cents was the only value mentioned in a list of the postage stamps of the United States in the Stam力 Colleitors' Masasinc. In March the following year the two values were illustrated in that periodical.

Mr. L. W. Durbin was a resident of St. Louls in $186: 9$ and wrote an interesting account of the early history of the stamps for the Amcrian . Fournal of Philately.! This contained an error in the
8. Above date is given in error lune, 1862 , in a letter publnshed in the Stamp Crillectors imasramine. Nov. 1. 186\%. p. i75. It is correctly given in a letter publishedin the satme paper February 1, i\$70. p 29.
9. From the Antericien Jownal of Philately. April 2o, 880. Vol 11. p. 48.

To the Eifitor
Sik: - Through the columns of your valuable "Journal," 1 beg leave thadwance a few arsuments in defense of the two stamps, which, next to my Keunions (and a few others of the same class), I prize most for their rarity. 'Ihe St. L.ouis P. 4) stamps, viz.: 5 and rocents were engraved and used during the administration of Mr. John H. Hymer, but the exact ditte of their emission cannot 'Je determined, as Mr. Hymer and his successor in office are dead, and the books of the engraver were destroyed during the late war

The engraver, I. Kershaw, recognized the stamps the monent he lat his eyes on them, and remarked: "1 have not seen one before in twenty years." He told me he had engraved them by order of Mr. Hymer for the use of the St. 1 huis Post-office 'The rentleman who ofliciated at the stamp window during Hymer's a iministraton and who still holds the same position, remembered distinctly of seeing the stamps, and seeing them on letters sent from this office. It is now dilficult to get much information in regard to them but the above evidence is sulficient to establish the one and impout.unfact that the stamps were sold to the public, and used bv them for the purpose of prepaying letters, and as such beas intly entited a placein iur albums, mas mers are as lustly entitfed to a place $1 m$ our albums, as many others whuse officiat origin is not less obscure than the St. Louis stamps, but nevertheles are counted among the choice specmiens of a good collection. Winuld any collector dare b refuse it wood-blotk Mauritius. because the order for its emission has not emanated from the litritish Home office, but only from the Colonial Postmaster? The large provisional o annas India, converted from a severue tor a postal label by oreler of the Local Postmaster during a tempurary scarcity of che regular emissionc, is accepted hy all: and yet, ithink, if the full history of si 1 omus stamps muld be collected, it would show that they were issued under the same circum. -tances, $i_{0} e^{*}$, that the supply of the U.S. 5 and 10 cent stamps had become exhausted. or was not suffictent to meet the demand. TheSt. I.ous Historical Society desiring to place among the mementoes of the past history of St. Lomis the plate of St. Lous stamps. made an elfort a short time since to procure it, but without success. 'Ihey, however. know that the stamps had once been in mee at this Jost. office. The engraver has made several efforts for find the plate: so far without success. All trace of it is lonst after it left his hands, and it must either have been lestroved hy the Postmaster, or, when the bulding, which was then of-
name of the postmaster that was only partially corrected in a later number. i" The character of these stamps had been assailed by certain papers, especially the French. ${ }^{11}$

Guphed by the Pont-office I)epartment, was torn down There is then no probable chance for a repront, and might it not be from this cause that some collector m St . Lous has seen fit to throw dimbts upon these stamps, because he could not procure a set to adorn his uwn alhim: 1 only know of perhaps a dozen sets in existence. I have examtoed six of each tenomination and could discover no difference between any of the 5 cents, but tound two varieties of the wocents. 'They are printed from a copper plateon thin blush paper, and canceled with pen strokes. thl of them were taken from lethers that had passed through the St. were taken from
Lonis Post-office.
Mons. Aloens, in the last edition of his beautiful album, has acknowledged them, and under the heading of United States inserted an engraving of each value, I description is therefore unnccessary, as anyone tan (if they lave font the genture inspect the fac-similes in the above work. I have become very much interested in these two "hits of paper," and it I can gan any more aththentic intormation in regaril to them, will give it to yonr readers, but I think enough evidenie has been prodised to show that they have without dumit performed the duty of a postage stames. And though they may not have been authorized by spectal act of Conbres, we are in duty bound (as postage stamp vollectors) to give them a place on oul collections

Yours very respecifully.

## 

"We differ from onr corrcspondent in regald to the occa5101 of then use, as they were certainly used one year before any general issue for the United States ever appeared, and consider it more likely that the postmasters of the large citics of New York and si. Loulis finding it nearly impossible to transat their business willout stamps, caused them to be prepared for use in their cities, and they were recognizen by all other poimasters as a receipt for fayment.

The difference in the 100 , stamp consists in the fourishes surrounding the design. It is more noticeable unter the name Saint Louls, there being six dashes in one and only three in the varicty."
10. From the Ancrican fournal of Philately, Vol. II., May 20, 186g, p. 64:
To the Editor.
13FAR Sir:- Please correct in your next the name of the $P$ W. mentioned in my article on the St. Lous stamp. It is Wymer, not Hymer. bourstraly, 1. W Dirtme.
Editor's Note - The correct name was "Wimer
11. The first mention of the St. Louis stamps in Le Tim brophtile was in January, 1868 , and is given below in French and Finglish. This paper published in April 1868 an article by "Albis" seeking to discredit the stamps primipally upon the strength of a letter from Tudge Holmes, called "Judge H.," which shows how litile the stamps were really kirown even in St. Lonis, as Judice Holmes an old and prominent citizen and a member of the Missouri Histurdcal Society.

Le Timbrepkile, Junuary, s86s. Vol. 1V., p. 314.

## 

SAnt Lunls. d'excellent ratalnge que nous devons à M. Berser-Levrault a mis en lumbere deux tumbres tres-pell conmus et rue, pumir ce motif, nous nous empressons de miettre sous les yeux de nos lecteurs.
Ces deux timbres wat éte Emis par l'Etat de Saint Louis officiellement et alovent etre considérés comme des plas authentipues. Inutile de dire qu'bls sunt de la plus grand rarete et que tres-petr d'amateurs sans doute arriveroni à les posséder. Les originaux qui nous ont servi appartiennent is M. Pli. IIs sont imprimés en noir sur couleur.

5 cents, vert gras.
-..blanc

Sr: Lix'15. 'I'he extellent catalogue which we owe to M. Hetser-Levrault has brought tulight two stamps verv little known, and which for that reason we illustrate for our readers.

These two stamps were issued by the State (sic) of St. I.ouis offucially, and must be considered as quite authentic. It is umecessary to say that they are of the greatest rarity. and that very few amatcurs will sulceed in possessing them. lhe originals we have used for illustrations belong to Mr Ph. Thev arep inted in black on color. Five cents, greyishgreen: 10 cents, white

Mr．Durbin discovered a second type of the 10 c ．stamp and Mr．E．L．Pem． berton a second type of the Sc．${ }^{12}$

Up to 1 sti，these stamps were all very
12．From The Pkilatelist，September 1，1869：
 whno．then we know but litule concernang any rarity， a paper which can add to our knowledge should be accept ithle：so we，knowing so litule of the stamps of ht．Lours，teed somewhat indebted to the writer of a lester，signed leon W Durtin，which appeared in The Anterrican fownal of Phil． ately for April last．He gives some very good evidence in then lavor，the best of which，however，is that he has identi th． 1 two dies for the roc：he hat opportunities for examinng six spectmens of earth value（sc．and noc．，hut found all the ＝e aloke．On comparing thrie copres of the 100 ，we identi dea the two dieswhuh Mr．Wurbun ponts out，but on exam－ Ithn－four of 5 c, we were much pleased to find that there were spenmens from two different dies also amongst them． and these dies for eath value we will examme metal．The －c and roc，are from different dies，and of each value two srieties have now been identified．＇lhts circumstance is werh：ups the best evilence we ．．．．id have for their genuine－ perhaps forger would mate so manv dies when a sinstle one would answer every purpose of swindling．They are engraved un copper plate，and as they were apparently only a tempor Iry issue it may be that there were only a patr of each 1ry insta From the number exansined by Mr Durbin and muelf it does not seem probable that more dies exist than two for each value．Ihe following are the salient points of difference between the doulsle dies

FIVE（CNNTS

1）1F A．
1．The buckle fto garter in centre）turns down，to the right side．

2．Strokes over Si．Latis Fileven over \＆an I，and ten wer T，ntis．one of which ex－ ponls beyond（curs through） the outer lime of frame．

Ball to lower half of figure 5．has i vound blach mark of shathug
＋．Outline of garter un－ steady：
．Motto in aarter，USTll （ii）U上 51 ND，DI\IIF （sic）WE SI．N．ND，WW very bad
6．Motto in scroll．SAr．t：
 reads LESE EIU

The upper right end of the scroll goes through frame
\＆c．，\＆
IEN CENTS
DTE A
I．（）utline of garter un－ steady

Nio buckle to garter so that it appears simply a double circte

3．WF（WE FNLI） reads NEFALL．

4．UNITK，－NI emoh upper outline of garter．

5．ए＇）PUIL，－PUL．L short，Ll long．

6．Three single Amurshes

The above is literation，punctuatuon．spelling，capitals etc．，etc

1HE H ．
Tr Outline clear und
sharp． Nubuckle either．
7．This end does num touch the frame． ※く，心゙，
scarce；in that year a lot turned up in New York consisting of one hundred 10 cents，fifty it cents and three 20 cents stamps．This lot was purchased by Mr． J．W．Scott，and the result of his study of the rarities was published in the Amerian Joumal of Philately．${ }^{13}$ He there

13．From American fivernal of Plilatcly．Vol．III．，Jan． 41！20，1870，P 10：
Si．Louts．These stamps have probably attained more notoriety than any of the series．They were first noticed by the Stamp Collectors＇Magazine in 1863，and again in 1867， but the editor remariss that＂unfortunately he has never been ahle to get even a glance at one．＂Abont a year after， the same paper gives engravings of $t$ wo varlettes，the 5 and to cent stamms，which were taken from anuther paper，lut still whthout being able to see one himself，which will give some idea of then scarcity．Ihey were hirst noliced in he Timbrophile in the same year 8681 ，and a few months fieruards appeared a lettel in the same paper denying their amhenticius but writer after filling up a page or so with althentrits，but the writer after hiling up a page or so with his npime the then English，as he supposes that they were used as a ittade English，as he supposes
mark or arvertisement．＂
In the Septemier number of the Stamp Collectors Maga－ zine we find ：t stated that a member uf the New liork Phila－ te．i：Society had sent the editor a specimen of the ro－cent stamp for his inspection，amd also a letter from a gentleman in St．Louns，which gives some valuable information，which， strange to say，has been entirely overlooked．He states the engraver＂prepared iwn plates cach，containing six stamps，＂ from which we should infer that there were six varieties of each，but after years of labor and search，we have been un－ whe to discover more than three varieties of each stamp， and so conclude that the second plate was merely a transfer of the first，which contaned three s－tent and three ro－cent samps each engraved separately
＇Io Mr．I．W．Wustan belongs the honor of discovering the second die of to cent，while Mr．Pemberton hist noticed the variety of the s－cent，and after careful study and examiming a mumber of specimens，we have discovered another die of earh．
Hor the benefit of our younger readers we will give a de－ scriotion of the design，which consists of the arms of Mis－ sumi，summonted with the numerat of value．ST．IOUIS above，POSI［ con ists of the following differences．which are quite suffi－ ient to distingursh them by，although in comparison many minor variations ran easily be discerned．

IIK A．
DIE B
IIEC．
The buckle
（to garterin centre）
The buckle
ogarterin centre turns do
left side．

2．Cotner nona－2．Ten strokes 2．Seventeen
 furmed of armed of eight strokes．

3．Pearin shield． on ground of verti－ cal lines．

## foris LOEIS


Thedistingumshing marks of the three dies of the ro－cent may be set down as follows：

IIIEA．
I）IE，B
DIE．C．
Three dashes Six dashes un Eigticen dashes under POS1－OF－der EMSI－OF－and dots under EICK．FICE．POSTーいビELCE
but the ereatest discover we have to lay before our readers consists in the finding another value，namely，a zo－ eadersconsists me forme another value，namely，a zo－ Not stantp． ook at it and thought it was a counterfelf；afterwards ont closer examination we came to the conclusiod that it hidd been altered from a 5 －cent stamp，the 5 having been seratelied＂ut and a 20 put in by hand，it being furmed 50 hadly，but after sriaking it off the paper，and holding it up to the light，we could lind no differencein the thickness of the
described the three varieties of each, 5 cents and 10 cents, and correctly explained the existence of the two types of the 20 cents stamps as having been altered from two types of the $\overline{5}$ cents. The discovery of this lot of 5 cents and 10 cents as well as the 20 cents value was treated by Mons. Moens in his usual conservative style. ${ }^{14}$

Later that able philatelist, Mr. E. L. Pemberton, having specimens of all known varieties before him, prepared an elaborate article upon the subject that was published in the Stamp Collectors' Mragasine. ${ }^{15}$ His work was incleed a great credit to him as a philatelist.
paper. We, however, would not have pronounced it genuinc on that specimen, but the same party having two more specimens, we compared them carefully, and find that two of them are exactly alike, and have evidently been altered from the original plate DIE() . On the other the figure 20 is slightly different and is altered from (DIE H). Frnm slight marks found on them, we are of opinton that the originat dies of the 5 -cent stamp was altered to 20 , or at least two of them.
14. Le Tintre Fostc, February, 1870, Vol. VIII., No. 86, p. 12.

SAnt Lour. Un a fait tant de bruit autour de ces cimbres quijl vient enfin den arriver quelques-uns en Angleterse. Une vingtaine de séries, dit-on. Mettons cinquante pout ne pas etre en dessons du chiffre.) Ce cu'il y a de plits drule, pas etre en dessons du chiffre.) Ce tun y a de phins otule,
c'est qu'on nous signale un 20 cent! ()n a nie l'existence cest quon mous signale un 20 cent!
des 5 et ro cents, croiratoon au 20 cent? Nous en doutons des 5 et ro
fortement.
Si. Larric. Such a fuss has been made about these stamps that a few (twenty sets, they say: let us put it at fifty so as not to be under the mark) have just arrived in England. The very lunny part of the matter is, that a 20 cents is mentioned.
The existence of the 5 and io cents has been demied. Shall The existence of the 5 and io cents has leen denied. Shatl
we put faith in the 20 cents? We have great doubts about them.
15. From the Stamp Collectors' Magazine, Vol. IX. January, I871, p. ri:
The Three Stann's of St. Lumis, An Inventhiation m EDWAKD L. PEnBERTON. "We have had a lull m the storm brought about by these stamps. Mr. Philbrick's letter, ${ }^{111}$ February last, appears to have silenced disbelievers, if it has not convinced them. Since then I have had the only kromwn specimens of the resuscitated 200 ., three in number, handed to me for examination. 'The discovery of this value was quite unlooked for by anyone, and to me was pregnant with suspicion, which, convinced as I was of the nuthenticity of suspicion, which, convinced as I was of the nuthenticity of
those s and roc, on thin paper, which 1 had known for years, tended to the inference that the recently offered 5 and loc, tended to the inference that the recently offered 5 and loc,
on thicker bluish and greemish paper, had some mystery in on thicker bluish and greenish paper, had some mystery in
their origin, and soindeed it did appear. (lf course I retheir origin, and 50 indeed it dad appear. On course I re-
arited these three $20 c$ with great doubt. On a cursory exsarifal these three 20 c . with great doubt. On a cursory ex-
anumatinn 1 found they had been printed from two alfored dies of the 5c.; and Ifurther found that in two specimens of 5c. from one of these dies there were discrepancies in the numeral and the trifing strokes, etc., around it, and also in the heal of one of the bears. This did not ease my perpexity, but I could do nothing until I had move specimens to examine, for one 5 C . was on the thin paper, the other on the thicker greenish, the 20 C . from this 5C. resembling hosth in certain points. I therefore borrowed all the specimens I could, and on a careful comparison proved the aoc. stamp, as well as the other 5 and 10 c . on the thaker papuer, to be genuine, entirely to my satisfaction. I hegan as a sceptic, but ended a believer, having convinced myself against my own prior judgment."
Aiter what has been written, it is a useless waste of time th go all through the history and causes of issuc uf the St. Touis stamps. At toot are references to atl the fuiscipal mention made of them in this magazine. The firat notice of them is at page 152 , Vol. 1. (Nov., I863), where she 100 , is given. () 11 page 17 ( af the same volume) the roc. is quoted ist Mr. lies lev famous paper. Although the next notice appears an lonis alter as $A$ pril, 1867 ( $\mathrm{p}, 50$ ), only the roc. is given, and 11 was not till March. 1868 (page 34), that the wo value were

Mr. Pemberton had every variety before him except the re-altered 5 cents die C., and in light of later study it is
chronicled together. This is curious, as showing their excesWive rarity in Europe, and, hut for the enterprise of Mr. J. W. Scott and Mr. Durbun, they might have remaned almost ullknown. They nere beyond doubt, in use Mr. Jah, H. Wymer (not Wymer), by Mr. Kershaw of St. Lotuis. He, speaking from memory, says this happened in 1848 , ancl that be then engraved six of eath value. 'I he date has been shown to be 1845 (the New York 5 C . "post-nffice" was in use Shown to 1845 (the New York 5 C . "post-nifice was in use
then, if not before) As to the six types of each, these have not been disproved, though I may state I can only ulentify three of each. I see that in February last I mentioned havin! found a fourth of the roc., but I can not verify this now, ing found a fourth of the roc.. but 1 can not verify this now
and fear it must be an errormade by me in comparing the and fear it must be an error made by me in comparing the
descrntions 1 published in The Phitutelist for Sentember, descriptions I published in The Philatelist (for Sepitember,
I 8 保) with specimens obtained afterwands: for I now write I 860). With specimens obtained afterwatds; fo
with all the specimens I ever had before me.
with all the specimens I ever had before me.
In this examination 1 have examined thirteen 5 C ., and tweive toc.: ten of these twenty-five came across the Atl.tnt: lent by the kindness of 1)r. Petrie: altogether 1 had a number of specimens never seen together in England. I will take the 5 c . value first, giving the differences between the three distinct types, and, afterwards, the differences between the first and last states of each of those types, as far as 1 have found them.
A good magnififer is necessary in the following examinathon: The dies of 5 C ., with which I am acquanted, are three; two of these, after bemg worked for a time are altered $t 0$ zoc., but thas value being evidently little wanted, the altered twenties were turned back atain into fives; whether the third die was ever altered to 2oc. I have not been able to determane, neither have I found races of more than three out of the sit dies of 5 c ., stated to have been made by the engraver. I have not seen enough of them, however, to be sure that no other exists.

## FIVF. CENTS

1.-Eleven strokes over SAINT: ten over iOUIS, ont of which cuts through the frame. Fagle very deeply shaded, lettering thin and very tmeven. Sicoll at base bas a double outline to the right ends, and, in addition, these ends rouch tive frame.
11.-Twelve strokes over SAINT; sixteen over IowUIS Fagle slightly shaded. Lettering in garter thick and more Eagle slightly shaded. Lettering ingarter thick and more
even. Lettering in scroll, P of supklin A very small. $x$ even. Lettering in scroll, $P$ of $\mathbb{S U P R} \mathrm{P}$. MA very small. X
very low down, E after it seems very unfinished: second P of very low down, E after it seems very unfinished: second $P$ of
PuPULI has a line down it and might be anything. Seroll P()PULI has
not as in I.
10t as in I.
III-Six strokes over SAINT, eight over IOOUIS, the top and bottom ones being long strokes. Fagle leans to the right. Leftering thin and queer. In of STAND, and first $\mathbf{D}$ of lillillk very rough, $V$ of ditto is a $Y$. LL of FALL double lines.
The above points do not touch upon the figures, herause the mumeral of value is the great differme point between the $5^{-c}$. as first engraved, and the same stamp after alteration, and then re-engraving as 5C. To assist comparison, and identification of varicties from this paper, I have grouped the great points of difference which exist hetween the first and last 5 c . and the intermediate 20 c . as thus:-
a. The numeral. There are aluays larive open marks of shading in top and back of the 5 , sometimes in the ball; these I call marks: the other shadings 1 c.ll dots n distinc. tion
b. The strokes under SilvT
. Whe head of the bear to the right side of the stamp

- The two lines of frame above numeral.

I hese will be found to embrate all the ponts which differ.了If. 1.
First state of die, 5c.
12. Marks thus: a triangle in top, a diamond in hack. with foul dots above, and nule below it, bal! blank.
b. Fintr strokes, one long, two shorter, with nne speck below, and just over the bears paw is a vertical mark.
c. Une very long, three shont; of these two come above and two below point of bear's ear
d. Lear's ears pointed and shaded, the paw on garter is aloo shaded. Forehead projerting armel rounded, leaving a depression above snout. Snout runnded at end, and it and the whole head is heavily shaded.
Second state of slie, zoc
Fimur strokes, luit bokler and closer than above, the beltial stroke over ileft) lear's paw nearly erased
c. Strokes deeper and more regular, the thard stroke (downwards) on a level with the bean's ear, 1. of Iullis bas been re-engraved.
a wonder that his theory did not have greater weight with philatelists．

The manner in which the stamps were handled and the way their sponsor was regarded may have had something to do with the reception of the 20 cents．

[^7] first as it stands with reference to tha secome state，$i$ ．$e$ ．，the
iz．Mark are these：A damond in top，an uprizht diamond in batk with eleven dots below，thos above ate hidden by the postmark in this，the only specimen I have seen．It is a very line early copy，with the curious feather－ likestrokes over SilNI and Li）UIS very clear under a magnifier－shary as can be，I am convinced that the figure 5 on this was originally engraved 1 ，whether by accitcon ．in 5 on this was origmally engraved thether wy accitchi ．＂ shows a thin line tuits right，and at tor，in the top of the ${ }^{5}$ ？ shows a thin line tu tr right，and at top，in the top ot the 5 ．
are three minute dots in a curve，to the right of the diammol are three minute dots in a curve，to the right of the diammed
mark：whilst tin the left are two，equally small，one over the mark：whilst tw the left are two，eq
other．Finu－one long，three shont
b．Finit－one long，three shont
Four－one long，two short，and one peck on a level be－ twenn the ear and the eye of the bear on that vide
Seconll state of dic， 200
b．The long and one slint
Twitop ones half gane，thind guite gone，but the speck is there．
$c$ ．Inner line gone from $I$ to L ，and a smaller piece of the nuter frame
Third state of die． 50
I have never found；doumetwor it cxath
$$
\text { 1115. } 111 .
$$
（If this die all I have seen（six in ：nmmer）are alike，save that some have a clear mank in ball of figure，whilst whers have the ball blank．The last are the earliest，those with mark are later，and possibly were 5 altered when the two 5c．above were engraved in theirlast states．I have never found any other differences，or anythin：pointing to the existence of a 20 C ．From this die
First state of alie，sc
a．Marks are these：a long diamond in top，a misshapen one in back with four dots，and nine helnw it，ball with a slight speck．I．ater this was engraved deeply，becomng a trans－ verse pear－shaped math．
b．Four，one long，two short and one speck．
c．Font，one lone and deep，three shorter，of which the middle one is smallest．
The fimishes the 5 and 200 e sperimens at my dispos．al The existence of the thind atate se．，I hie 1，wa one of the well known old coples，is an aremorent whath clinches the genmmeness of the 200 ．．and cinserpuently of the later fonnd specmens of dies 1．， 11 and 111 ．un thickish paper，of the first itates

## TEN Cトざしく

Gf this value I have identified three dies．As they have never heen altered（to my knowledge），the simple differences as eiven lielow，＂ill suffice tuthstimenish one die from the

Die 1 ．－Three turvell lines at tomt：at $b$ ，five：at $c$ four
Howe in Thrme
The II Three minved lines with a smaller stioke over each，at font at $d$ ，four，at 6 ，taur strokes．
Itie Ill．－．Three chryed lines，with a smatler stroke met each，atul with dnts added between the two stiukes at each and，ar foot：at $b$ ，five（two last are specks）；at $c$ ，five（the last a speck）．

An effort to realize upon some of these stamps at auction was referred to in one of the British magazines ${ }^{16}$ in 1 sis．

From this time to $1 \times 34$ the 20 cents stamp was regarded as a＂fake＂by such philatelists as Moens，Evans and Tiffany．A solitary specimen had re－ mained in the hands of Mr．Scott the original purchaser of the lot of $186 \%$ ．In the last year this stamp was sold to a prominent American collector，who had adopted the Pemberton theory．The stamp was loaned by the new owner to Mr．Tiffany for examination and study． In the fall of $18: 4$ the writer of this article picked up from a dealer in New York City a specimen of the re－altered 5 cents，Die C ，the very specimen miss． ing to complete the chain in the Pem． berton theory

This stamp had been sold by the dealer as a regular DieC，but was returned to him by the purchaser who had re－ warded the stamp with suspicion upon the discovery of the points of difference existing in and about the numeral．

This stamp was referred to Mr．Tif－ fany about the time of the receipt of the above mentioned 20 cents stamp，and several prominent collectors loaned their specimens of St．Louis stamps until twenty six specimens were accumulated． This was the first time Mr．Tiffany had had an opportunity of examining enough

In contlusion，the earliest specimens of 5 and roc．were printed on an opayue paper if a delicate greenish－grey tint． later，of a dull grey－blue，getting thinner：and lastly，in it very thin，dull grey paper，more or less transparent．Sume copies are shown upan white，but these are copies from which the crilor has been discharged by chemicals．The three zoc，are in the second－mentioned paper．
16．Grant＇s Phitiatelical Fownazh，Vol．I．April，I872： （1）Mar h i8th Messis．Sotheby \＆Co．，at， 13 Wellington St．Strand，held＂an auction sale in London，＂which ＂could only have paid anyone，who，like Mr．Scott，pos－ cewids much which was undeniably required by a few reople，or sin many specimens of which no one knew any－ lhme thut himself；and for the goodness of which he alone was answetable，＂＊＊＊Had the proprietor refrained from lithding for his own property（which it struck tis was suot a risht proceding），man things would have realized mone． ＊＊＊Thin led to a feeling of distrust very early in the sale，and few ventured to bid on for any lot when it was seen that the mwner was in oppmition to them．for the inevitalibe consefluence appeared，that passession conld only be ou－ Aneal by payment consideralily in excess of value．＊＊＊ The proper comse to adopt is to put reserved prices in the auctioneer＇s hand．The catalogue appeared to us rather ton plentifully suminkled with enlogies．We observed some pares absolmely liristling with＂very scarce，＂＂almost ＂mogte，＂and so often misanplied that the auctioneers read （ii：）of the cobservation frequently created downright laughter
Lat 15 －All three varieties St．Lnuis， 5 c．bought
Lot tor－All three varieties St．Louis，roc．bought in $^{\text {in．o }}$ in for 6．2．1． 0 Lot 17－200．，St．Louis，unique，hought in for ．．．E 6 ． 00. ．． Lot 18 －20c．．St．Louis，not unque，bought in tor．．．． 8 ． 12.0
specimens to prove Mr．Pemberton＇s theory．The result was a thorough con－ version ${ }^{1 /}$ and the able work，＂A St． Louis Symposium，＂was the result．

So much for the published philatelic history of these interesting stamps．

After the great purchase made by Mr． Scott in $1 \times(i)$ referred to above，he men－ tions ${ }^{15}$ a second find of about twenty made in Washington，and a third lot of about twenty five discovered in a rag－ shop in New York in 1889．The letter addressed to Stuart \＆Co．，illustra－ tion on page 14，being one of this lot．

The above witl the few straggling stamps discovered from time to time in－ cluded all the St．Louis stamps known until the last famous＂find＂in Louis． vilie，Ky．，consisting of one hundred and thirty－seven specimens－seventy－ five 5 cents，forty－six 10 cents，and six－ teen 20 cents stamps．

The story of this＂find＂is published in another part of this number．

These stamps have nearly all been in my possession for study，and about thirty additional specimens from other collections－a total of one humdred and sixty specimens，each of which has been arefully examined for the purposes of his article．

I have also had the privilege of study and consultation with such able and earnest philatelists as Mr．Fred W． Ayer，Mr．Hiram E．Deats and Mr． John K．Tiffany．The magnificent library of the latter gentleman has Leen at my disposition．By the aid of his

17．A St．Louis Svinwsitn．Ify John K．Tiffany，i894， pag
＂My own opinions and information about these stamps，of which I have examined many separately bereto－ fore，as well as my disinclination to indulge in theoretical reasoning，so often substituted when farts cannot be as－ cratammit，have so often appeared in print，that it is hardly necesssary to say that I undertook the investugation with some pretty deeply－ronted ideas about the atuthenticiry of someof these varieties，have reached my present ronclusions and hazard some theory now，only after the mose horongh and extended examination，and the trial of every teat that my long experience，both as a stamp collector and a student of all manner of other counterfeits and forgerics，could sug－ gest as applicable under the circumstances．＂

18．The Metropolstan Fhilatelist．Vu！．VI．，No．6，Sejw tember，r895：
＂I＇he second considerable tind of these stamps consisted of about twenty spectmens which were discovered in the Latiking house of Blessis．Riggs，of Washington：in this lot was a pair of $6 c$ ．which remained unique for nearly twenty－ five years，when another pair was discovered in New（\％Heans， we believe．ithird lot，cousisting we beljeve，of about twenty specimens，was purchased by Mr ．Cabman，about I 880.

Philatelical Index ${ }^{19}$ I have been able to read the early printed philatelical his－ tory．

[^8]From specimens in the
Technical. last "find" of St. Louis stamps the position of the various dies upon the plate was definitely established by vertical unsevered strips of three ${ }^{\text {s }}$ cents and three 10 cents. Also the fact that only tivo i) cents dies were altered to 20 cents by a vertical unsevered strip containing the two 20 cents dies and a $\overline{0}$ cents unaltered at the bottom of the strip.

The positions were further estab. lished by a connecting link in the shape of an unsevered horizontal pair consist-

ing of a 20 cents and 10 cents from the top of the sheet.

All three plates are illustrated on page!!.

Plate I. represents the original condition of the plate, three $\therefore$ cents and three 10 cents, each type different.
This was printed upon a greenish wove paper.

Plate II. represents the second condition of the plate with the two upper : cents stamps changed to 20 cents, the other in cents and the three 10 cents remainins unchanged.

[^9]This plate was printed on two kinds of paper. Evidently the first prints were upon the same paper as was used in printing Plate $I$, but as only a single copy of each die of the 20 cents stamps are known, we must conclude that there was very little of this first paper used in printing Plate II.

The paper characteristic of this second printing, and on which all of the 20 cents stamps exist, except the two specimens noted above, is a llue-grey wove of a thinner and tougher texture than that of the first printing. Owing to the wear of the soft copper, the is cents stamp that was not altered to a 20 cents became very much worn, and was finally retouched, making a distinct variety.

Plate III. represents the third and last condition of the plate with the two 20 cents stamps re-altered to in cents, and with the third in cents stamp that was not altered, again retouched. The 10 cents, except from slight retouching, remain practically the same.

The plate in this condition was printed on very thin, hard paper, almost pelure, the color is very much the same as the last paper described.
stamps on this paper are much the rarest of the three, with the exception of 20 cents stamps printed on first paper noted above under Plate II.

Hereafter the varieties of St. Louis stamps will be known by new numbers, for the purpose of identification; enlarged illustrations of each type have been made, and the old letter of the die is given with the new number.

The two first papers upon which these stamps were printed are quite distinct in color when in their normal condition. They are both, however, more or less subject to change, according to the atmospheric or other conditions to which they may have been subjected.

Heat, light, dampness and gases all have an effect on this paper. Mucilage of different kinds have various effects, while paste produces an altogether difterent effect.

Thus we have a great variety of shades from a multitude of possible natural causes. The microscopic test that is the most reliable in distinguishing these two

(1'emberton's 11)


10: ENTS, MIE 2 (WLI DHE I\%).


[^10]HOATEJI.
This plate only differs from Ilatel in the introduction of the tho 20 cents and the retouching of the 5 cents stamp


2O1UN1く, DIE 1 (HLD IME C).


5 (1×
The down stroke of the 5 is very muh thinner, the hall in smaller, the innerline of the circle tunches the thamond innament.

PI.ATE III.


This is a pros illustration, having heen enlarged ftam at fhotograph. This is the larest of St Lanis stampe only two copies known.




The ball of 5 cents contains a more promounced dot than the common lie 3 .
papers, when there is any reason for doubt, exists in the blue coloring matter in the second paper. There was an in digo used that was wholly absent in the first paper.

Tiny indigo spots may be found deposited upon the surface of the paper that become quite plain under the microscope, and are always to be found, no matter what change of shade has taken place in the general appearance of the stamps.

The following comparative illustrations may be interesting:


Dies 1, showing the same dic in its three conditions. The upper left hand corner always serves for my cue to this die.


The ornament consists of a greater number of lines than the other two.


Dies 2, showing the same die in its three conditions.


The ornaments in the upper left hand corner consist of fewer strokes, and one penetrates the outer line, thus furnishing an easy cue to remember.


Dies 8 , showing the retouching that took place during the three printings.

## Lous

'This is the commonest die and is easily recognized by the long dash at top.

> THE TEN CENTS HES.

The 10 cents dies are very easily identified by the strokes below the words "Post-Office."

## POST OFFICE

Dite 1. A series of siugle strolies.

## POST OFFICE

Die 2. A series of double strokes.

## [POST OFFICE]

Die 3. A series of double strokes with dots between.

There are many other ways of distinguishing the dies as they differ in almost every respect. I have simply given the cues that I always use, and think that they are the easiest to re member.

The comparative value
Commercial. of the varions St. Louis stamps will the at once suygested in a commercial consideration of them.
No stamps in the worli have commanded the price that certain of the stamps of St. Louis have realized, and the demand is tar in excess of the supply.
Even the commonest varieties are much rarer than I had been led to be liceve, until an actual investigation and endeavor to purchase proved same to be true.

I shall quote few figures in this article, but will indulge in some logical reasonins and state a lew facts:
I. Five cents, I ie 3 , and 10 cents, Dies 1.2 and :3 must he of equal scarcity whether on first or second paper, as exactly the same number of each were printed, and they were probably all used.
II. Five cents Dies 1 and 2 which come only on first paper are much scarcer than the last mentioned varieties, because the dies of these two stamps were altered to 20 cents before the first paper was exhausted. At the time Mr. Tiffany wrote "A St. Lonis Symposium" he could not find a single Die E for comparison, and only knew of one copy in the country at that time.
III. Many more of the 20 cents stamps must have heen printed than were ever used, and the accumulation of these stamps remaining in the hands of the Postmaster evidently caused the plate to be re-altered again to is cents. As the second paper was only used in the printing of plate II there must have been the same number of 20 cents printed on that paper as there were of
the other dies. There are only two 20 cents, one of each type known, on first paper.
IV. The stamps on third paper, plate III., are all of alrout equal rarity, most of these were likely on hand when the use of St. Loulis stamps were discontin. ued, and comparatively few were ever used.

The plating and study of these stamps has greatly reduced the number of varieties, and even the commonest are extremely rare.

The 5 cents lie 3 in point of numbers is the commonest known, it is commoner than either of the 10 cents dies.

It is priced at s2.0.00 usediw, the 10 cents are each worth as much, if not more.

These values apply to the first paper only, which is much commoner than the second, owing to the second printing loving heen on looth first and second papers.

The following is a list of the known varieties of St. Louis stamps, showing the five degrees of rarity.

5c. I be I, KKI
5c., " 2, KRR
5c. . 3. K.
IOC., " I, Ri.
IOC., is $2, \mathrm{~K}$.
IOC., .' 3. K.
200, * 1, INRRR
200., " 2, RRRIR
 5c.. 1 Die 3, IRI 5C., . 3. worn plate variety, KKKRK
IOC., " 1, KT.
IOC. . " 2. KR.
IOC, " 3, Kた
200., " I, liRRR

20C. " 2, RRRR
$\mathbf{1} \mathbf{\$ 1 7}$ - (1n bluisty pelure paper-


The valnes of pairs and strips are, of course, greatly in advance of the single specimens of which they are composed.
Condition also enters into the value of these stamps. They may be classified

[^11]as follows, commencing at the commonest :
I. Penmarked.
II. Penmarked and postmarked.
III. Postmarked.
IV. Uncanceled.

All unused copies that I have seen have been taken from letters having missed the cancellation.

Plate I. reconstructed, has sold from $\$ 2,500$ up to $\$ 4,000$, according to condition.

Plate II. reconstructed, has sold from $\$ 7,500$ up to $\$ 10,000$

Plate III. reconstructed, is complete in only one collection, and is valued very highly

The fact that they cannot have St. Louis complete will not likely deter collectors who can afford the luxuries of philately from possessing such specimens as they can obtain of these most interesting stamps.


[^0]:    1. The History of the Postage Stamps of the United States, by John K. Tiffany, published 1887 . Page 14 :
    They are penmarked with the name of the mailing office, the date occasionally, the amount of the postage paid or due, generally in simple figures, sometimes with the word "cents," in full or abbreviated, added. Gradually, hand-stamps were introduced. At first the name of the mailing office in a simple frame, generally circular, the month and day being still written in with a pen, and the amount of postage written as before. A further improvement appears later on in the introduction of the month and day as part of the hand-stamp. The word "paid" or "due, the amount of postage in figures or with "cents," either written or hand stamped, always added.
[^1]:    And finally all the marks are included in one hand-stamp.
    There was evidently no uniformity of practice, except the general requirement that the name of the mailing office, the month and day, and the amount of postage should in some form be marked on the letter. Improvements seem generally to have originated in the larger offices, but smaller offices sometimes took the lead in enterprise. An improvement once adopted does not seem always to have been adhered to: letters mailed at the same office on the same day and differently marked may be frequently found in old files. The hand. stamps seem to have been obtained by the several offices for themselves, as there is no uniformity of style *** Lours ville, St. Louis, Cincinnati, Boston and New York letters of the same years have the same hand-sta New York letters of the same years huve the same hand-stamp with a numeral or humerals indicative of the amount of postage added at the was hand-lamped below the was hand-stamped below the other

[^2]:    2. The following letter was tirst printed in the Amerians Journal of Philately.

    Patiofrmee Defarturar, 1
    Contract Office, August 15t, 1842 .
    SIR:-
    Fy an order made on Siaturday, but journalized to-day. the Pistmaster-General has established a letted-tarrier arrangement for the City of New York, to be called the "United States City l Jespatch Poit" for the "onveyance of letters from one part of the city to another, subject tw " harge on each letter of three cents, under the zoth section of the A.t if 1836 , and authorizes you to employ Alex 11 freis. nominated by you as letter carrier: other carriers are to be apponted from time to time as may be reunired, and you are requested to nominate for that purpose. And you are aloo requested thed to obtain the necessary fintures, ponches, boxes, Gabels, stamps, etc., at not exceeding $\$ 1.200 .00$ for the whole labels, stamps, etc. at not exceeding slorid establishment at and to appoint a clerk to superintend sind establishment at
    not exceeding sr,ooo per annum. You will be pleaned in not exceeding $\$ \mathrm{r}, 000$ per annum.

    Very respectfully:
    Your obedient servant,
    S. R. Hus:nt:
    fohn Lurimer Graham.
    Postmaster. New York

[^3]:    The Missouri Republican November 5th, $18_{45}$, contannl the following notice:
    LETTER SFAnms Mr Wimer, the postmaster, has prepared a set of letter stamps, or rather marke, to he put upon letters, indicating that the postage has heen paid. In this he has copied after the plan adopted by the postmaster of New lork and other cities. These stamps are engraved to represent the 31 ssouri Coat of Arms, and are five ano ten cents. Iher are so prepared that they may he stuck upan a letter like a waler and will prove a great convenience to merthants and all those having many letters to send post paid, as it saves all all those having many etters to send post paid, as it saves all trouble of paying at the post-olnce. Chey will be snid as tish are sold in the East, viz.: Sixteen five-cent stamps and eight ten-cent stamps for a dollar. We would recommend
    merchants and others on give them a trial. merchants and others to give them a trial.

    And a few days later in the same paper of November 13 th. 1845, we again read:

    Puintorficy: Siraurs. Mr. Wimer, the poitmaster, requests us to say that be wall furnish nime ten-cent stamps and eighteun five-cent stamms for one dollar, the clifference being required to pay for the printing of the stamps.

[^4]:    4. A ST. Lum Sixumatsu, by John K Liflanv, publiahed in I 504 . page 10
    Compared with the other values the numerals of the twenty cents ate very difierent from the others. not mily in being uf a very different type but alsu in their execution Io repeat \r. Kershaw's statement that he never engraved them is to express my own opmion, and while he is trositive that the plates never left his possession until the tase of these stammis had long ceased, it is quite prosible that his recollectonn is faulty in thas particular also. The direntary of the perind shows that there was another plate engraver in St. Louls at the time. It would seem puxsible, shali I say probatyle, that Mr. Wimer heing responsible for the value of all stamps printed from this plate would naturally have taken it into his own custody, and bate wo second pring have taken it into has ther But even if the work was , one was made by anl establishment it is not impossible fhat an tiontant mate the alteration of the plate 1 posstble that an assistant made the alteration of the plate.
[^5]:    The Metropotitan Philatelist, Sept. 1895, Vol. VI, P. 79: $\because T h e$ St. Louis stamps were first described in $h e$ Timbor phile and more fully noted Sieptember, $130{ }^{2} 3$, in the stamp Cullector's' Magrazine"."
    In as much as the first number of Te Tintrophile was pullished November. 186, the inaccuracy is apparent

    The Stami Cullectors' Mugazine, Nov. I, 1863 , Vol. I, VI II a list of U. S. loral stamps by C. W. Viner, A. M.. Ph. It and alsu in the same paper. Dec. I, I863, Vol. I. D. 171, in a list of L. S. loral postage stamps the following is repeated
    "St. I.ouis Postonfi e [Device supported by hears]. [3lark imp. Rect. 1o cents."

[^6]:    5c. Dies 5 and 6 (Re-engraved $1:$, and Retouched $A$, an unsevered pair, on thin paper. Elated february 4 th, 1847. Equally as rare and valuable as the above.

[^7]:    Farsolutuse，straighter，and the left one unshaded Snout square，it，and the whole head，less shaded．Bear＇s paw on gatet is crased
    c．Innerline af trame half erased between SAiNT and Louls
    Ihird state of die， 5 c ，
    （2．Mark thus： 1 n top a diamond in back a long diamond with four dots aloove and four below，in ball a black maik．Shading around ligure muth coarser than in first， mill liy the balk is one vertical curved line，the untlue of the right side of the 18 of the 20 ，which was not cleverly erased．
    b．Lines and marks fuir，\＆raduatimg，the second sinuous， and touches the bear＇s ear．
    $c$ Three，onelong，two short，the last level with beat＇s ear．L of Litlls a trille longer and more slanting than in the others．
    at．Head，eats，etc，as last：the paw on the garter is onls outlined．
    e．Bulges a little，showing where re－engraved．
    11上！ 11
    First state， 5
    N．B：－Not having the third atate，I can only describe the

[^8]:    re．Philatelical Index，compiled by John K．Tiffany，rezo． M：

    The following reference list is taken：
    ST，Jouls．
    2 cents bogus：
    Tin．，VI，00．530，A Pril， 1870.
    S．C．M．，VIII，40，59，99，July， 1870.
    5 cents：
    1）．P M ，III，， 5 ，Januaty， 1877 ．
    A．J．P．，XII．，150，14，1）Lecember，$: 878$ ．
    re cents
    $\therefore$ C．MI．．I．，ro，152，November，rif．
    S．Al．1，Ir，171，December， 1805.
    s．K．．I．Io．3，J111y， 1866.
    S．C．M．．V．， 57,50, April， 1867.
    20 cents：
    A，I，I＇，llI，tr，January， 1870 ．
    I I ，III．，12，February， 1870.
    limb．Vi．，67， 536 May， 1870
    A．I．P．，Ili．．，29，טr，May，1870．
    ए．H．R．T， 3.39 ，November， 1874 ．
    P．H R，I， 3,33 ，November， 1874 ．
    acenta and ro cents：
    C．P．M．．．I．，2，12，March，1867．
    A 1 P，Il． 16,48, April， 1867.
    A．J．F．，11．，I7．64，May，I867．
    Phil，III ，34，roo，September， 1867
    S．C．M．Vili，70， 75 ，November， $\mathbf{8 6 7}$ ．
    Timb，VI．，6s， 489 ，November， 1867 ．
    Timb．，IV．， 39,489, November．IS
    I．I．，VI．， $82.5 x$ ，January，1と68．
    Mij，11．，${ }^{15}, 27 \mathrm{x}$ ．February， 1868.
    Timb．．IV ${ }^{15,} \mathbf{4 2}^{27} 335$, April． 1.2
    II．S．© M．II ，39，Julv， 1808
    M．S．C．M．．11．，6，万1，September， 1868.
    limh $11,47,377$ ，September， 18068 ．
    S．C，M．，11．68，141，heptember， 1868
    C．M．，1．． $62.34 x$, March， 1868.
    C．M．，VI．，65，86，June， 1868
    ＇I，Vi．，（in） 67 ，September， 1868.
    ，W．I ，7，${ }^{2},-1870$.
    1 I．P．Ill．， 26,20 ，February， 1870.
    1 IP． $111,26,25$ ，February， 1870.
    S．C．．M．，Vil．，85，29，Pebruary；IS70．
    1．I．P．III，27，40，M1arch， 1870.
    1．J．P．，111．27， 5 t，March， 1870
    B．C．M．VIII．．87，63，Aprid， 1870
    S．C 11. VIII． 80 04，June， 1870
    S．．11．VIII，90，97，July，1870．
    H．H．P．（．，］， $3,22, A_{\text {juil，}} 882$.
    I．H．S．J．1．，8，3，June， 1882.
    5 cents， 10 cents and zo cents．
    A．I．P．I11，25，Io，January， 870.
    （I）T， 39,378, Jannary， 1870 ．
    I．P．VIlI．86，12，February， 1870 ．
    f． $\mathrm{H}, 1,8,61$ ，February， 1870.
    limb．，V＇J．，64，5II，February， 1870
    S．C．M．．I111．，85，25，February，1870
    S．C．M．．V11I．85，25，Februar 1870 ．
    
    （ $\therefore \mathrm{M}, ., 1 \mathrm{~N}, 06$, ri，July，rifi．
    
    Phil ？（．，1．． 2,15 ，April， 1872
    Phil．1．，＋，6i，April， 1872.
    S．C．N．．N1II．．April，1：7．
    I P，NI．，124，39，May， 1873
    Phil ，Vil］，79．72，Jume， 1873.
    
    A．C．M，XI 131,165 ，November， 18 v 3.
    A．C．H，I1，3，37，Narch， 1874.
    A．I．I＇X． 125,76, May， 1876.
    A．11 a mo Mareh． 1877.
    S．I．． $111.1 \times, 70$, Septemlier， 1877.
    I＇U，II．，5，18，January， 1878 ．
    Unson 1 ， 11,10 ．February， 1877.
    1：C C，111 3，3，September，1－，
    13 C．N．．I．，3．I．Aucust． 1880.
    －ntr，scents， 10 cents and 20 cents：
    A．I．I．，IIII．，87，88，133．August， 1873 ．
    P．1．8．3．Angust， 1873 ．
    Phil V11，V11，82，＋18，September， 1873
    thil．．VII．．83，122，tetoker， 1873
    乌．C．M．，XII．139，J11，July，1274．
    1．H．ß．1．，2，22，Iul，187．

[^9]:    s.C. M1, X11.. 138, 95, January, $1 S_{74}$
    A. I. P, VIII, 104, 121, August, 1874.
    A. T. P.'V1/I., 104, 132, Augllst, 1894.

    Commterfeit 5 cents.
    A T. Y., XIV.. 1. 2, January, 1880
    Timb...... Timbrophilist
    S. M ... stamp Collector's Magazine.
    I) P. II.... Durbin's Philatelic Alonthly.
    A. $\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{P}$..... Ameman Tanmal of Philately.

    Phe R ... Sayfor Stamp Smoth's Philatelist.
    P. H. R.... Vord's Philatelic Herald and Review
    P. H. R.... Vombre-Puste.

    II S. C. ML. Masnn's Chin and stamp Journal.
    II S. © M. Mason's Coin and Stamp Journal. Van Rinsum Ciontinental Phututh Magazine.
    C. P. M ... Van Rinsum Ciontinenta
    H. H. $\ddot{\mathrm{P}}$ © . Heckler's I'hilatelic Courier.

    T P. S. J... Townsend's Ihurkeye Stamp Joumal.
    C I) T .... Man'y's Ie Collewtomacur
    F. B ..........Faber's Iber lBazar for Priefmarken Sammler.
    L. P. () ... Lisht and Jackson's Stamp Collectors Journal and Philatelrat (?pimmia
    Wendall's the Stamp
    W S....Wendall's the stamp
    A. C Andruy the Curiosity Hanter.
    S. F. T.....Jensen's Nordick Frumaerkevesende
    T.... Casey's Coin and Siamp Journal.
    P. ©.... Smith's Philatelists' (Quarterly:

    Uninn ... Nieskes
    B. C.... Frowne's Curinsity Cabinet.
    D. 1 N....Mecker's Collectors' News.
    P. C...........irant's Philatelic Circular

[^10]:    | Pemberton's III

[^11]:    $\therefore$ Scotl's 56 th Jidition Catalogne

